

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,497 02/09/2001		Kazuya Nishino	1095.1158/JDH	5669	
21171 7.	590 12/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			HAVAN, T	HAVAN, THU THAO	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT PA		PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		3624	3624	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/779,497	NISHINO, KAZUYA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thu Thao Havan	3624		

	Thu Thao Havan	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		t t standed and					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reight	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	Jotos Glainis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-10.	Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-10.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	Is to provide a				
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							

Continuation of 13. Other: The newly amended limitation "particular function" requires further search and reconsideration.

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vineens Melli

2